Regional approaches to labour mobility

Julia Nielson
Trade Directorate
OECD

Structure of presentation

Overview

Some Broad Categories

- Regional agreements are preferential trade agreements, not labour mobility agreements
 - Most are connected with the supply of services
 - And other forms of movement directly related to trade and investment
- Some agreements aim for deep integration and the creation of a single economic space
 - These allow for broader mobility, beyond trade, and beyond labour

- Continuum of approaches
 - Mobility of people in general (including permanent migration and non-workers)
 - Free movement of labour, including entry to the local labour market
 - Facilitation for certain kinds of trade- or investment-related activities
 - GATS model only temporary movement and only for service suppliers
- This variety reflects, e.g., geographical proximity, levels of development, cultural and historical ties.

- Symbiotic relationship between RTAs and the GATS
 - NAFTA provided model for GATS
 - Other RTAs use GATS model (e.g., EU-Mexico, US-Jordan)
- RTAs also feed off each other
 - Latin American agreements; proposals in FTAA resemble NAFTA and EU-Mexico

- Need to be careful comparing RTAs (apples and oranges)
 - Some restrictions are unnecessary when the RTA doesn't offer a certain kind of access
 - E.g., EU specification that certain jobs reserved for nationals only required in context broad mobility
 - Some RTAs offer broad mobility, but exclude some sectors; others cover all sectors but limit mobility to certain defined groups.

- Facilitated movement of people does not always equal right to provide specific services
 - Need to read in conjunction with liberalisation commitments on particular service sectors for all types of agreement
 - Agreements can exclude certain service sectors from coverage; apply special rules to certain sectors
 - Professions remain governed by national regulations on licensing and qualifications

- RTAs not providing full labour or service supplier mobility tend to use GATS-type carve outs
 - Exclude permanent migration and access to labour market
 - Don't impinge on right to regulate entry and stay of individuals
- Most RTAs are subject to general immigration legislation
 - Parties retain discretion to grant, refuse and administer residence permits

Structure of presentation

Overview

Some Broad Categories

- Some cover movement only under mode 4 in services chapter
 - e.g., MERCOSUR, US-Jordan
- Some group all mobility (investment and goods related) in a separate chapter
 - e.g, Group of Three, Japan-Singapore
- Others include reference to mobility of key personnel in investment provisions
 - e.g., ASEAN
- Or sectoral chapters
 - e.g., EU-Mexico on financial services

- Identify broad groupings based on text, not implementation
 - Full mobility of labour
 - EU, EEA, EFTA, COMESA, Trans-Tasman
 Travel Arrangement
 - Market access for certain groups, including beyond service suppliers and/or agreements grouping all mobility in a separate chapter
 - CARICOM, NAFTA, Canada-Chile, Europe Agreements, Japan-Singapore, Group of Three

- Agreements using GATS model with some additional elements
 - US-Jordan, EU-Mexico, AFTA, Euro-Med (Morocco, Tunisia)
- Agreements using the GATS model
 - MERCOSUR
- Agreements providing no market access but facilitated entry
 - APEC, SAARC
- Some are works in progress
 - SADC

- Additionally, some RTAs create special visa schemes or other types of managed entry
 - Trade NAFTA visas
 - APEC Business Travel Card
 - Experience might be interesting for GATS
 - Indicates that the more diverse the membership, more scope allowed for existing regimes
 - Administrative capacity a major issue
- Others try to give access under existing visa schemes
 - Can lead to difficult discussions about who gets to make policy

Structure of presentation

Overview

Some Broad Categories

- Labour provisions in RTAs illustrate
 - Range of options for access, calibrated to national needs
 - Need for close policy coordination and dialogue between migration and trade authorities
 - Need to consider how to implement commitments and administrative capacity required