



MIGRANT INCLUSION IN COVID-19 VACCINATION CAMPAIGNS

IOM Country Office Review



INTRODUCTION

The information presented here is **based on**:

- data collected from January to May 2021 on 199 countries
- the cross-examination of various sources, including IOM country offices direct observations, WHO/COVAX National Deployment and Vaccination Plan (NDVP) analysis, government websites and official communications by public authorities, media reports (in particular for countries where there is no IOM presence), the Global Health Cluster, UN OCHA's Humanitarian Data Exchange, and more.

IOM direct reporting informed the data on 168 countries in this analysis, as of 17 May 2021. This report is a presentation of *what we know so far* and should **not** be considered a comprehensive document.

	Countries/Territories	Number of countries where IOM reported
Asia and the Pacific	39	36
Central and North America and the Caribbean	30	17
East Africa and the Horn of Africa	10	10
European Economic Area	35	25
Middle East and North Africa	18	16
South Eastern Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia	20	20
South America	10	9
Southern Africa	15	15
West and Central Africa	22	20
TOTAL GLOBAL	199	168

Please note in this fast-changing context with contradictory reports, the information presented in this document is valid, to the best of IOM's knowledge, as of 17 May. 2021



MIGRANT INCLUSION: PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE



PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: KEY NOTES


- A lack of clarity remains and is reported in several countries (over 60 countries) regarding the level of access to the
 vaccine that different categories of migrants already have or will be able to have in practice. This can be due to:
 - Campaigns still being only in the beginning stages or not having begun at all in some countries
 - Absence of NDVP in the country or NDVP was not shared with WHO, IOM or other partners
 - Many NDVPs mention priority groups without breaking down the various population categories within those groups,
 therefore getting clarity on the level of migrant inclusion can be challenging. In some cases, IOM's attempts to get the clear information directly from the vaccination focal authorities were not fruitful.
- Discrepancies observed between the NDVP analysis and the in-practice analysis can be explained as follows:
 - Many NDVPs may imply the inclusion of migrants in the priority groups for vaccination without necessarily mentioning them
 explicitly.
 - There can be differences in the terminology used across NDVPs and potential lack of alignment with IOM's/WHO's terminology.
 - In some cases, policies may intend to be migrant-inclusive but realities may present aspects and processes that
 policymakers did not consider as barriers for some categories of migrants.
 - Additionally, IOM has noted that some policymakers prefer to avoid publicizing the intention to include migrants in the campaigns for various reasons (for example to avoid xenophobic reactions in the general population).
- IOM is monitoring the situation closely at all levels and from various angles.



PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: GLOBAL OVERVIEW

This graphic compares vaccine access for migrants as stated on National Deployment and Vaccination Plans (NDVPs)


– based on WHO analysis, where available, or IOM analysis – against observations made by IOM regarding access in practice.



This analysis is based on 152 national plan analyses and 168 country practice observations.




PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: ASIA AND THE PACIFIC






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: CENTRAL AMERICA, N. AMERICA, CARIBBEAN






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: EAST AFRICA AND HORN OF AFRICA






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AREA






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: SOUTH AMERICA






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: SOUTH EASTERN AND EASTERN EUROPE, AND CENTRAL ASIA






PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: SOUTHERN AFRICA





PLANS VERSUS PRACTICE: WEST AND CENTRAL AFRICA





MIGRANT INCLUSION: MAIN GAPS AND BARRIERS



MIGRANT INCLUSION: SEVEN MAIN BARRIERS IDENTIFIED (1/3)

IOM Country Offices have observed and reported the following barriers to COVID-19 vaccinations:

1. ADMINISTRATIVE/POLICY BARRIERS:

- a. In some countries, certain laws and regulations simply/openly bar some categories of migrants from having access to public health services. The COVID-19 vaccines are in some cases reserved for nationals, especially given the current limited supply.
- **b.** Specific documents are often required, creating a spectrum of barriers that can be categorized from low to high:
 - > LOW: some countries will accept any form of ID, valid or not, expired or not, and from anywhere, only to verify the identity;
 - > MEDIUM: other countries require *specific types* of documents (e.g. residence permit, host country insurance cards), which constitutes a higher barrier, but those documents are accepted even if expired;
 - > **HIGH**: other countries require *specific types* of documents that are *still valid / have not expired*.
- c. Blurry or absence of firewall between health and immigration authorities: In some countries, health workers are required to report to immigration authorities migrants in irregular situations attempting to access health services, which leads to fear of arrest/deportation.
- **d.** Registration through dedicated (online) systems are often required prior to vaccination, which can be confusing, and which often also imply other barriers (technological requirements, language barriers, fear of tracking tools that may lead to arrest or deportation...).



MIGRANT INCLUSION: SEVEN MAIN BARRIERS IDENTIFIED (2/3)

IOM Country Offices have observed and reported the following barriers to COVID-19 vaccinations:

- **2. FINANCIAL BARRIERS:** While the vaccine is free in many countries for people registered in national health insurance plans for example, in some countries, there is a **lack of clarity on whether there is a cost/fee** for people who are not enrolled in such schemes.
- **3. TECHNICAL BARRIERS:** Lack of internet connectivity is reported as a barrier in countries where vaccine bookings have to be made online. *Note: this is linked to Barrier 1d.*

4. INFORMATIONAL BARRIERS and MISTRUST:

- a. In some countries, a lack of outreach and reliable information targeting migrants is reported, contributing to reducing trust, and vaccine hesitancy is reported to be high among migrant populations in those countries.
- b. In others, **linguistic and cultural barriers** are listed as major concerns for migrant participation/access leading to disand misinformation.



MIGRANT INCLUSION: SEVEN MAIN BARRIERS IDENTIFIED (3/3)

IOM Country Offices have observed and reported the following barriers to COVID-19 vaccinations:

5. BARRIERS LINKED TO OVERALL LACK OF VACCINE AVAILABILITY:

- The overall **limited supply of doses across the world** continues to makes it difficult *de facto* for many people to have access to vaccinations, including nationals, but this affects particularly marginalized communities, for example migrants in irregular situations.
- One country reported that vaccines approved by the national Government and vaccines approved by the COVAX Facility are not aligned which complicates the supply situation.
- Government made a choice not to carry out a COVID-19 vaccination campaign
- Complex ongoing crisis limits the country's ability to focus on COVID-19 vaccination campaign

6. LOGISTICAL HURDLES FOR DELIVERY, CONTINUED MOBILITY OF PEOPLE:

Logistical hurdles make it difficult to deliver vaccines in some countries. Also, continued mobility is reported as a major challenge for the administering the second dose. The concern is particularly prevalent in emergency contexts where there is a high number of IDPs.

7. EFFECTS OF XENOPHOBIA AND DISCRIMINATION: Two countries reported this as a barrier.



MIGRANT INCLUSION: IOM'S INTERVENTIONS TO FACILITATE VACCINE ACCESS FOR MIGRANTS



IOM ONGOING ACTIVITIES TO FACILITATE MIGRANT INCLUSION

Across all regions, IOM is pro-actively working to help ensure COVID-19 vaccines reach migrants, including forcibly displaced people, and is engaging with governments to implement the following interventions:

- a. Advocacy for inclusion and to remove barriers
- b. Capacity-building for partners
- c. Provision of data on migrant stocks and vulnerabilities
- d. Social mobilization and outreach to help address vaccine hesitancy
- e. Operational support (transport, storage...)

- f. Help establish monitoring mechanisms
- g. Administering vaccines to (migrant) communities
- h. Administering vaccines through the Humanitarian Buffer
- i. Administering vaccines to UN staff

At this early stage of the vaccine roll-out, IOM missions are currently focusing mainly on advocacy, capacity-building, data provision in line with privacy principles and regulations, as well as social mobilization and outreach.